## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS DALLAS DIVISION

D&T PARTNERS, LLC, successor-in-interest	§.	
to ACET Venture Partners, LLC, et al	§	
	§	
Plaintiff,	§	
	§	Civil Cause: 3:21-cv-1171-B
v.	§	
	§	
BAYMARK PARTNERS, LP, et al.,	§	
	§	
Defendants.	§	

## ORDER GRANTING WINDSPEED EMPLOYEES' MOTION TO DISMISS SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT CLAIMS PURSUANT TO RULE 12(b)(6)

CAME ON FOR CONSIDERATION the motion filed by defendants Windspeed Trading, LLC ("Windspeed") and William Szeto ("Mr. Szeto," and together with Windspeed, "Defendants") styled Windspeed and Mr. Szeto's Motion to Dismiss Second Amended Complaint Claims Pursuant to Rule 12(b)(6) (the "Motion") filed on July 22, 2022 at docket no. \_\_. Upon consideration of the Motion and accompanying brief in support, the Court finds and concludes as follows:

- A. The Motion was properly served and no further service is necessary.
- B. The Court has jurisdiction over the matter and has authority to enter this Order.
- C. Good and sufficient cause exists for entry of this Order.

## IT IS THEREFORE, ORDERED ADJUDGED AND DECREED that:

- 1. The Motion is hereby **GRANTED**.
- 2. The RICO Claims asserted in Counts I-III by Plaintiffs in its Second Amended Complaint against Defendants in this action, whether directly and/or derivatively asserted, are hereby dismissed with prejudice for failure to state a claim upon which relief can be granted.

3. The state law claims asserted in Counts IV-IV<sup>1</sup> (the "<u>State Law Claims</u>") by Plaintiffs against Defendants, whether directly and/or derivatively asserted, are dismissed with prejudice for failure to state a claim upon which relief can be granted.

4.	This Order	disposes	of all	claims	asserted	against	the	Defendants	in	this	action	and	is
	final and ap	pealable.											

Dated:	
	HON. JANE J. BOYLE;
	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE:

Count IV appears to be mis-identified as "Count VIX" in the Second Amended Complaint.